

## Section One - INTRODUCTION & OBJECTIVES

<b>A- Thesis Introduction</b>	<b>12</b>
1- Enhancing the Quality and Efficiency of Healthcare Systems: A global socio-political priority.....	12
2- A prescription for quality for the United States of America .....	14
3- Quality challenges in the Post-Acute (PAC) Rehabilitation.....	15
4- The US and its Post-Acute Rehabilitation system as the context for the thesis development. Why?.....	16
<b>B- Objectives</b>	<b>17</b>
1- Long-Term Goal.....	18
2- Thesis Goal.....	18
3- Specific Goals.....	19
References (Introduction & Objectives).....	24

## Section Two – BACKGROUND

<b>A- Background on Quality and Quality-Initiatives</b>	<b>27</b>
1- The Basis of Quality and the Quality-Movement in Healthcare.....	27
2- The Nature of Healthcare Quality-Initiatives.....	30
3- Quality-Improvement (QI) Approaches and Evolution of QI Paradigms.....	35
4- United States (US) Healthcare System: A Quality Journey and underlying Frameworks .....	47
5- US PAC Rehabilitation Services, Quality and Quality-Initiatives.....	64
<b>B- Background on Literature Review Approaches</b>	<b>71</b>
1 - Literature Review Approaches.....	72

2- Review Approaches suitable for integrative, complex, and wide subject matters: Integrative Review, Realist Review, and Scoping Review.....	74
3- Analyzing and Synthesizing Qualitative Data.....	89
References (Background).....	97

## Section Three – METHODS

<b>A- Overview of the Methods Section</b>	<b>104</b>
1- Building a Review Approach for 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> Reviews.....	106
<b>B- 1<sup>st</sup> &amp; 2<sup>nd</sup> Reviews (including 1<sup>st</sup> review – part B): Stage-by-stage Process</b>	<b>110</b>
1- Clarifying the Studies’ Scope and Defining Foundational Frameworks.....	110
2- Search Process (by themes).....	118
3- Selection Process.....	124
4- Extraction & Display (by themes).....	126
5- Analysis and Synthesis (by themes).....	128
6- Interpretation/ Conclusions.....	132
7- Experts consultation (except the 2 <sup>nd</sup> review).....	133
<b>C- 3<sup>rd</sup> Review Process</b>	<b>136</b>
1- Overview of the development of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> Review.....	137
2- Specificities of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> Review Process.....	137
<b>D- Integrative Recommendations:</b>	
<b>The final integrative step towards accomplishing the thesis goal</b>	<b>139</b>
References (Methods).....	141

## Section Four – RESULTS

<b>A- 1<sup>st</sup> Review</b>	
<b>Quality of Post-Acute Rehabilitation: A conceptual framework</b>	<b>144</b>
A1) Review Introduction.....	144

A2) Review Objective.....	146
A3) Synthesis of the Methods.....	146
A4) Review Results.....	147
1- Patient and Family Centrality.....	150
2- Outcomes-Axis.....	151
3- Process-Axis.....	155
4- Structure-Axis.....	160
References (1 <sup>st</sup> review).....	165

**B- 1<sup>st</sup> Review – Part B**

**Pathways linking Post-Acute Rehabilitation health-related  
outcomes with its specific interpersonal dimension of care**

**170**

B1) Review Introduction.....	170
B2) Review Objectives.....	171
B3) Synthesis of the Methods.....	171
B4) Review Results.....	172
1- Hypothesized Conceptual Pathways.....	172
2- Key Aspects for the Interpersonal Dimension of Care.....	182
References (1 <sup>st</sup> review – part B).....	188

**C- 2<sup>nd</sup> Review**

**State-of-the-science and -action of healthcare quality-initiatives –  
A systems-based stakeholders’ perspective**

**194**

C1) Review Introduction.....	194
C2) Review Objective.....	195
C3) Synthesis of the Methods.....	195
C4) Review Results.....	197

Consumers Level	
1- Patient- and Family-centered Dimension of Quality.....	198
2- Active Roles of Consumers for Quality.....	199
External Environment Level	
1- Payers and Purchasers.....	209
2- External/independent Quality Bodies.....	215
3-Suppliers.....	221
4- Research Community.....	224
5- Educational Community.....	235
Macro-System Level	
1- Free-standing Healthcare Settings.....	244
2- Integrated Delivering Systems.....	256
3- Regional Health System (macro-integration).....	260
Micro-System Level	
1- Clinical micro-systems.....	266
2- Micro-integration: Integrating care and community resources at a patient level.....	273
Public Policy-Makers	
1- Public Policy Leadership for Quality.....	276
References (2 <sup>nd</sup> review).....	282
<b>D- 3<sup>rd</sup> Review</b>	
<b>PAC Rehabilitation quality and quality-initiatives: Applied state-of-the-action</b>	<b>305</b>
D1) Review Introduction.....	305
D2) Review Objective.....	305
D3) Synthesis of the Methods.....	306
D4) Review Results.....	306
Consumers Level	
1- Patient- and Family-Centered Dimension of PAC Rehabilitation Quality.....	307
2- Engaging consumers into their Actives Roles for Improving PAC Rehabilitation Quality.....	311
External Environment Level	
1- PAC Rehabilitation Payers and Purchasers.....	315

2- PAC Rehabilitation External/independent Quality Bodies.....	319
3- PAC Rehabilitation Suppliers.....	325
4- PAC Rehabilitation Research Community.....	328
5- PAC Rehabilitation Educational Community.....	337
<b>Macro-System Level</b>	
1- Organizational Role for Quality: Implications for PAC Rehabilitation.....	342
2- Redesigning Services Across Organizational Borders: Implications for PAC Rehabilitation.....	346
3- Reorganizing the US PAC Rehabilitation System.....	349
<b>Micro-System Level.....</b>	<b>379</b>
1- Coordination within PAC Rehabilitation Micro-systems.....	352
2- Quality-Improvement (QI) within the PAC Rehabilitation Micro-System.....	356
<b>Public Policy-Makers Level.....</b>	<b>385</b>
1- PAC Rehabilitation Policy Reform for Quality.....	358
2- US Public Policy Roles for PAC Rehabilitation Quality.....	358
References (3 <sup>rd</sup> review).....	361

**Section Five – DISCUSSION**

<b>MODULE 1: Each Review Discussion</b>	<b>368</b>
<b>A) 1<sup>st</sup> Review Discussion</b>	<b>369</b>
1- A shared conceptual understanding of PAC Rehabilitation quality supporting the development of quality-initiatives.....	369
2- Identifying gaps in the applied knowledge and literature shaping a supportive research agenda for quality and quality-initiatives.....	377
3- Conceptual-framework limitations: immediate pathways for its enhancement, refinement and validation.....	381
<b>B) 1<sup>st</sup> Review – Part B Discussion</b>	<b>385</b>
1- Value and major added-features of the 1 <sup>st</sup> review – part B.....	387
2- 1 <sup>st</sup> review – part B limitations and pathways to overcome them.....	389
<b>C) 2<sup>nd</sup> Review Discussion</b>	<b>392</b>

1- Value and major added-features of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> review .....	393
2- 2 <sup>nd</sup> review limitations and pathways to overcome them .....	396
<b>D) 3<sup>rd</sup> Review Discussion</b>	<b>398</b>
1- Value and major added-features of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> review .....	398
2- 3 <sup>rd</sup> review limitations and pathways to overcome them.....	399
References (Each Review Discussion).....	401

**MODULE 2: Integrative Recommendations 407**

<b>A- Overarching Recommendations</b>	<b>410</b>
1- PAC Rehabilitation Consensus-Building Partnership for Quality.....	410
2- Interdisciplinary Center for Developing PAC Rehabilitation Quality-Solutions.....	417
<b>B- Focused Recommendations</b>	<b>425</b>
1- External-Monitoring of Consumers’ Experiences: ensuring patient/family- centeredness through an external monitoring-system.....	426
2- Developing the Interpersonal Dimension of Care: becoming a measurable and improvable quality dimension.....	432
3- Developing a Uniform Clinical-Registries System: for practice, quality and research purposes.....	437
4- Developing an Improvement Data-System supporting the PAC Rehabilitation QI journeys/initiatives and QI research.....	448
References (Integrative Recommendations).....	454

**Section Six – CONCLUSIONS**

<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>465</b>
1- Thesis Major Products.....	465
2- Thesis Major Limitations.....	472
3- Implications for the field of Social & Behavioral Sciences	

applied to health issues (including Health Psychology).....	476
References (Conclusions).....	480

## Section Seven - EUROPEAN DOCTORATE

<b>RESUMEN (ESPAÑOL)</b>	<b>484</b>
<b>A- Introducción de la Tesis</b>	<b>484</b>
1- Calidad y Eficiencia como una prioridad emergente de los Servicios de Salud a nivel Global: La Necesidad de Cambios de Transformacionales ¿? .....	484
2- Calidad en el sistema de salud de los Estados Unidos de América (EUA) .....	485
3- Calidad en la Rehabilitación Post-Aguda .....	487
4- EUA como el Contexto para el desarrollo de la Tesis.....	488
<b>B- Objetivos de la Tesis</b>	<b>489</b>
1- Objetivo a Largo Plazo.....	490
2- Objetivo de la Tesis.....	490
3- Objetivos Específicos.....	491
<b>C- Métodos, Resultados e Discusión: Abstracts de Cada Revisión</b>	<b>491</b>
1- Resumen General de los Métodos de Tesis.....	492
2- Abstracts de cada Revisión.....	494
A) Abstract de la 1ª Revisión (parte A).....	494
B) Abstract de la 1ª Revisión – parte B.....	496
C) Abstract de la 2ª Revisión.....	497
D) Abstract de la 3ª Revisión.....	499
<b>D- Recomendaciones Integradas (Objetivo de la Tesis)</b>	<b>500</b>
<b>E- Conclusiones</b>	<b>500</b>
1- Los Principales Productos de la Tesis.....	501
2- Principales Limitaciones de la Tesis.....	507
3- Implicaciones para el campo de las Ciencias Sociales y del Comportamiento aplicadas a la salud (incluyendo la Psicología de la Salud).....	513

Referencias (Resumen)..... 518

## APPENDIXES

### Appendix 1

Table underpinning the Methods ..... 530

### Appendix 2

European Doctorate 3-month research stage: Contract & Evaluation..... 535

### Appendix 3

Intermediate Draft of the 1<sup>st</sup> review..... 540

### Appendix 4

Intermediate Draft of the 1<sup>st</sup> review – Part B..... 564

## Figures Index

**Figure 1** (in Introduction & Objectives)..... 20

**Figure 2** (in Results: 1<sup>st</sup> review) ..... 148

**Figure 3** (in Results: 1<sup>st</sup> review)..... 149

**Figure 4** (in Results: 1<sup>st</sup> review –part B)..... 174

**Figure 5** (in Results: 2<sup>nd</sup> review)..... 195

**Figure 6** (in Discussion: Integrative Recommendations)..... 409

**Figuras** (Resumen en Español)..... 523