

# Simulation tool of IEEE 802.11ac wireless networks propagation in indoor environments

Alejandro Molina-Galán<sup>(1)</sup>, Pablo Corral<sup>(1)</sup>, Jesús Vidal<sup>(1)</sup>, Miguel Aljaro<sup>(2)</sup>, Guillermo De Scals<sup>(3)</sup>

[alejandro.molinag@umh.es](mailto:alejandro.molinag@umh.es)

<sup>(1)</sup> Dpto. de Ingeniería de Comunicaciones. Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche, 03202 Elche

<sup>(2)</sup> Dpto. de Ingeniería de Computadores. Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche, 03202 Elche

<sup>(3)</sup> Dpto. de Física Aplicada. Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche, 03202 Elche

**Abstract**— This research pretends to be useful for the future deployment of the wireless network of the Universidad Miguel Hernández, specifically at the campus of Elche. In terms of capacity, in short term we will need more capacity in the wireless network, for this reason we are testing some aspects of the protocol IEEE 802.11ac (Wi-Fi 5). This protocol works in the 5 GHz band and provides us more channels and capacity with channel bonding and MIMO. Before the deployment of the wireless network, we need to know the propagation model of the signal in different scenarios and conditions. We analyse the results obtained by a developed software tool capable of representing different measurements of the signal strength with LOS and NLOS scenarios and comparing with indoor propagation models. For measuring the signal level we have used an emitter 3x4 MIMO and a receiver 3x3 MIMO, both compatible with Wi-Fi ac.

**Keywords**— Indoor radio propagation tool, IEEE 802.11ac analysis methods, MIMO, Channel Bonding, Spatial Streams, Modulation and Coding Schemes.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The motivation of this study is the need in terms of capacity in the current deployment of the wireless network of the Universidad Miguel Hernández, specifically in the campus of Elche [1]. Nowadays, each user has more and more devices capable of connecting to the wireless network. The current implemented protocol (IEEE 802.11 b/g/n) with its number of available channels in the 2.4 GHz band, will be soon insufficient. For this reason, we started testing the protocol IEEE 802.11ac that works in the 5 GHz frequency band. It allows a higher number of channels, and also allows different techniques and parameters adjustable that permit to improve the throughput and the signal level coverage. These parameters are explained later further on. On the other hand, we know that if you increase the frequency of signals, in our case up to 5 GHz, you will increase the attenuation. In this research we were focus on the measurements and analysis of signal strength at 5 GHz band [2]. For taking samples of signal strength at different distances we have used as emitter an access point (AP) of Cisco called Aironet 2702I-E-K9 which allows us 3x4 MIMO. We have used an HP laptop as receiver with an 802.11ac D-LINK card. It is connected by USB 3.0 port with the laptop. This receiver is called AC1900 Wi-Fi USB adapter and it allows us 3x3 MIMO applications. The MIMO configuration improves the signal to noise ratio at the receiver due to the fact that it

receives and combines different copies of the signal by the 3 or 4 antennas at reception. We have used the “NetSpot” application for Windows 10 that has total compatibility with IEEE 802.11ac. Therefore, the end goal of this research is to analyze the results of the developed software tool. We should be able to represent the propagation model in every case, taking into consideration every parameter available in this protocol version and also different scenarios. These configurations have been possible thanks to the Cisco access point that allows us a lot of configuration parameters.

In the next parts of this article we are going to deal with the general procedure of taking measurements and its corresponding analysis. First of all we are going to introduce the point called Test Bench where we show an explanation of the procedure’s steps [3]. Also we do an introduction of all concepts that we need for the study of each case: Wi-Fi 5 parameters configurable, different scenarios and the known models of indoor propagation that we have chosen for this study and the main functions of the software tool. Secondly, in the results part, we are going to show the RSSI results obtained in one case of study with the indoor propagation models. Finally, we will conclude the research explaining how useful is the developed tool.

## II. TEST BENCH

### A. Procedure to take measurements:

The first step is configuring the transmission parameters in the AP. This step is important because we can distinguish the exact case that we are studying now. Then we have to obtain the data that it is going to be sent to be processed. To do that, NetSpot application is going to be used, capable of detecting the signal level emitted by the AP function of the distance. In this case, the study is limited exclusively to previously known indoor environments, so just take measurements at intervals of distance. After obtaining these measurements, we have to pass data to a Microsoft Office Excel format (.xlsx) in order to facilitate the next task. The next step is to send the data to the RSSI AC Studio tool for processing. Finally, with the tool we can represent the measurements of signal level as a function of distance in each case. Moreover we can compare them with different known models of indoor propagation and we are able to choose the most similar. That chosen model can be useful for us. Then, with some little mathematician variations of those

models we can achieve a model of path loss in every single case of studio (Chosen scenario and Wi-Fi 5 configuration parameters).

In Fig.1 we can see a flow chart that shows the necessary stages in order to follow the commented procedure.




Fig. 1 Operation mode.

### B. IEEE 802.11ac parameters for configuring

1) *Modulation and coding schemes (MCS)*: We are able to configure up to 10 different combination of modulation types and code rates with the web interface of the AP (MCS0 to MCS9). Each MCS have different characteristics in terms of throughput and radio coverage (In terms of limits, MCS0 has the configuration with more coverage and less throughput and MCS9 has the adjustments with more throughput and less radio coverage [4]).

2) *Number of spatial streams*: We can transmit up to 3 different spatial streams (different signals) at the same time by the same channel by different antennas. With this technique we can improve the throughput a lot. Our receiver have 3 antennas in reception so that we can use up to 3 spatial streams, but we also can configure 2 or 1 spatial stream.

3) *Channel Bonding*: We can configure the channel width with 3 possible widths: 20 MHz, 40 MHz and 80 MHz. The wider the channel is, the higher data rate can be used.

4) *Guard Interval*: We can use 2 times for this parameter: 400 ns or 800 ns. The shorter time allows us increase the throughput due to the fact that we are reducing the symbol period, but also it increases the ISI (Intersymbol interference).

### C. Scenarios Characterization

Planning how to choose the scenarios to be studied will have repercussions on the results. Therefore, we have chosen the following six scenarios [5], each one with its own characteristics:

1) *Free Space*: This scenario is the reference for the study of diffraction and reflection phenomenon, and in terms of the received signal strength as well.

2) *5x2.5 meter room*: It contains many obstacles such as shelves, cabinets, desks and computers. Thus a strong diffraction is generated.

3) *6x5 meter room*: It contains few obstacles and they are arranged close to the walls. Diffraction mechanism does not affect as much as the previous scenario.

4) *Narrow corridor*: There are some reflections between two different materials: glass and plaster. It is also interesting the fact of having equidistant columns throughout one of the corridor's walls.

5) *Wide corridor*: Characterized by walls made with the same material, and poor influence of obstacles.

6) *Conference room*: Larger than all other dimensions rooms above. The most relevant feature of this room is the repetition of the same obstacle progressively, i.e., the number of seats contained there generating partial absorption of the signal.

### D. Indoor propagation models

Below some of the models of propagation in indoor environments are briefly described [6].

- *Free Space*. It is LOS between transmitter and receiver as we can show in Fig.2.




Fig. 2 Ray model for Free Space

- *Motley-Keenan*. With walls, ceilings and floors.
- *COST Multi-Wall*. It is an improved model of Motley-Keenan considering different materials as we can see in Fig.3. There are many empirical researches contributing with long tables where loss values are given for each material [7].
- *Two Rays*. It provides an estimate with piecewise linear laws based on Fresnel breakpoint.
- *Log-Normal Shadowing Path Loss*. It considers LOS between transmitter and receiver, adding the influence of selective fading, walls, floors, diffraction and dispersion, contemplated in a typical loss environment variable.




Fig. 3 Ray model for COST Multi-Wall.

- Linear Path Attenuation. It estimates losses between transmitter and receiver located on the same floor. It uses a linear factor obtained experimentally [8].
- ITU-R P.1238. It may be the most sophisticated empirical model. It represents the power loss across multiple floors [9].

#### E. Software implementation. Data processing.

The first task that the developed tool allows is to import the data of RSSI samples differentiating the environment and the Wifi 5 (ac) parameters configuration. In each point of distance (every 0.25 m) between transmitter and receiver a group of RSSI empirical samples were taken.

This tool processes those samples obtaining an RSSI average per each point of distance. With this tool we can represent these measurements along with the curves associated to different indoor propagation models. In this way, we can use this tool to select the propagation model that best fits with the RSSI average measurements. In Fig 4, the options offered by the tool are schematically shown:




Fig. 4 Different offered options to process the data.

### III. RESULTS

This section presents the different results obtained from the data processing. We are going to choose one case study for

showing all results that we obtain in each case. The configuration of the AP is done by the web interface.

#### A. Configuring the case study

1) Selecting the MCS and number of spatial streams: we have selected the MCS0 with 2 spatial streams for this case. In the CLI we can ensure this configuration with the following command (related to the AP radio interface):

```
ap#show controllers dot11Radio 1
Active Rates: 24.0 basic-54.0 m8-2 a0.2-2
Current Rates: basic-54.0 m8-2 a0.2-2
```

We obtain among other things the parameters Active Rates and Current Rates. The most important for us is the bold text: a0 indicates that the AP is working with the MCS0 and the number 2 indicates that the current number of spatial streams is 2. The rest of information is due to the AP must also be configured with one basic configuration for 802.11a/n in the 5 GHz band.

2) Selecting the Channel Bonding: we have selected 40 MHz of channel width. With the previous command in the CLI also appears this configuration:

```
Configured Frequency: 5180 MHz Channel 36 40 MHz,
extended above
Serving Frequency: 5180 MHz Channel 36 40 MHz,
extended above
```

3) Selecting the Guard Interval: we have selected 400 ns of guard interval. The configuration in the AP shows it:

```
Guard-Interval: 400 ns
```

#### B. Measuring the quality of the signal

Trends search mechanism is a first measuring signal quality. Fixed values of maximum variance for a set of points / minimum samples, the aim is to find all those signal pieces that satisfies the required conditions. If the signal does not achieve a minimum number of samples accomplishing the conditions, it is discarded.

In Table I is shown the results from 0.25 meters until 5 meters for maximum variance of 1 dB and a minimum size of window of 10 samples. The chosen scenario is the conference room.

TABLE I  
RESULT OF TRENDS SEARCH

CONFERENCE ROOM					
Distance (meter)	Useful samples over 100	Average (dBm)	Distance (meter)	Useful samples over 100	Average (dBm)
0.25	68	-30.5	2.75	70	-49.3
0.50	86	-37.3	3.00	88	-50.8
0.75	68	-39.2	3.25	84	-51.0
1.00	73	-44.6	3.50	69	-54.8
1.25	80	-43.5	3.75	49	-51.6
1.50	82	-40.9	4.00	17	-53.7
1.75	67	-50.4	4.25	35	-51.7
2.00	53	-51.4	4.50	41	-54.0
2.25	88	-47.6	4.75	74	-57.8
2.50	52	-62.8	5.00	81	-53.6

### C. Getting different representations

Representation of received signals in a graph, as we can see in Fig.5, is useful to eliminate abstraction given by numerical data. It makes a less detailed analysis, more global though, in which it is hinted at the behaviour of the signal.




Fig. 5 Averaged measured level of signal every 0.25 meter in conference room. As the distance increases, the power decreases. The variance takes an important role.

### D. Compare with indoor propagation models

The idea is to display on the same graph the result set on the curves representing the prediction models, as we show in Fig. 6. The purpose is to resemble the experimentally obtained behaviour with the different propagation models.

Through the parameter values governing the equation that describes the model, the corresponding curves are obtained.




Fig. 6 Comparison of the measurements obtained and processed with the different indoor propagation models.

Above the curves obtained for all samples of the conference room scenario are shown.

In all models there is a nonlinear decrease in the signal function distance. It is more accentuated for close distances. Comparing all models with experimental results, these are quite similar, maybe some of them more than others [10].

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

For analyzing the effect of the WiFi 5 propagation in function of the environment, a mathematical tool based on Matlab was created.

A software tool has been developed exclusively for the study of wireless networks in indoor environments, capable to perform various operations on the measured signal. The chosen language was Matlab considering that one of the objectives of the project is to serve for teaching. It is a popular language at the university level by the close relationship with the numerical analysis and graphical representation of functions.

This tool, based on iterative algorithms to extract trends, different representations of the signal intensity level, and comparison and estimation functions, as for example, in Fig. 6 that compares experimental results with different indoor propagation models obtaining best results with Multiwall and Motley-Keenan model, has been the basis of all this research. It can be quite useful for planning and designing wireless systems, allowing the user to obtain a first approximation of the scope and power levels in a specific area, with good computation time, and what is more, considering that this will reduce the cost and time spent on tedious measurement campaigns; which reiterates the use of RSSI Studio.

It also has a great academic value. It could support radio propagation courses, allowing students to consolidate the theoretical concepts covered in class by performing simulations for further analysis and getting conclusions regarding the mechanisms of radio propagation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. A., Jesús, "Estudio de la red inalámbrica IEEE 802.11 b/g/n en entornos cerrados", University Miguel Hernández of Elche, 2014.
- [2] T. S. Rappaport, "Wireless Communications: Principle & Practice", Prentice-Hall USA, 1996.
- [3] David I. Laurenson, "Indoor Radio Channel Propagation Modeling by Ray Tracing Techniques", the University of Edinburgh, 1994.
- [4] 802.11ac-2013 - IEEE Standard for Information technology--Specifications--Amendment 4: Enhancements for Very High Throughput for Operation in Bands below 6 GHz. IEEE, 2013.
- [5] G. Wöflle and F. M. Landstorfer, "Dominant paths for the field strength prediction", Institut für Hochfrequenztechnik, University of Stuttgart.
- [6] Alexandre Wagemakers y Borja Ibarz, "Modelos de Propagación electromagnética. Propagación en entorno urbano", 29 octubre de 2007.
- [7] Harry R. Anderson, "Building corner diffraction measurements and predictions using UTD" IEEE Trans. of Ant. and Prop., v. 46, N. 2, 1998.
- [8] Zhong Ji, Bin-Hong Li, Hao-Xing Wang Hsing-Ying Chen, Tapan K. Sarkar, "Efficient Ray Tracing Methods for Propagation Prediction for Indoor Wireless Communications", Antennas and Propagation Magazine, IEEE (Volume:43, Issue: 2), April 2001.
- [9] UIT-R P.1238-7, "Propagation data and prediction methods for the planning of indoor radiocommunication systems and radio local area networks in the frequency range 900 MHz to 10 GHz", February 2012.
- [10] Molina Galán, Alejandro "Estudio de cobertura del estándar 802.11ac en distintos entornos indoor mediante el uso de una herramienta desarrollada", University Miguel Hernández of Elche, 2016.