

Alfonso Ortega Giménez

Associate Professor of Private International Law of the Miguel Hernández University of Elche

Correspondence:

alfonso.ortega@umh.es

Received:

26.07.2021

Accepted:

29.10.2021

How to cite this paper

Alfonso Ortega Giménez

SUMMARY

I. REGULATORY APPROACH TO INTERNATIONAL DATA TRANSFERS: THE GENERAL DATA PROTECTION REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN UNION. 1. The system of adequacy decisions. 2. Transfers by means of adequate safeguards. 3. Binding Corporate Rules. 4. Exceptions. 5. Authorisation by the supervisory authority. 6. Temporary suspension. 7. Contractual clauses. II. CONCLUSIONS III. BIBLIOGRAPHY CONSULTED.

ABSTRACT

International data transfers involve a flow of personal data from Spanish territory to recipients established in countries outside the European Economic Area. It is the European Commission that decides, with effects for the whole Union, that a third country, a territory or a specific sector of a third country, or an international organisation offers an adequate level of data protection, thus providing legal certainty and uniformity throughout the Union with regard to the third country or international organisation.

KEYWORDS

Data protection, international data transfer, safeguards, supervisory authority.

I. REGULATORY APPROACH TO INTERNATIONAL DATA TRANSFERS: THE GENERAL DATA PROTECTION REGULATION.

There is a pressing need both to put an end to the cumulative and simultaneous application of different national data protection laws and to modernise the rules on data export in favour of the "free flow of personal data" and the protection of the data subject's right to data protection resulting from an unlawful international transfer of personal data.

It is therefore necessary to improve the current mechanisms for international data transfer to third states by including adequacy decisions (i.e. decisions certifying the adequacy of third states' data protection rules), as well as appropriate safeguards (such as standard contractual clauses or binding corporate rules) to ensure a high level of protection in international data processing operations and to facilitate the cross-border flow of data. In short, it is about rebuilding the legal framework in order to consolidate it with a modern, solid, coherent and comprehensive data protection framework for la UE and for third states. A solid and coherent legislative framework, which enhances the single market dimension of data protection. With the ultimate aim of favouring commercial relations between la UE and third countries.

In this context, the Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data

Protection Regulation) of 25-01-2012¹ (hereinafter, General Data Protection Regulation or GDPR) emerges, a general and directly applicable rule without the need for transposition; the objective is clear: to achieve legislative uniformity², simplifying the legal regime on international data transfers.

1. The system of adequacy decisions.

The possibility of transferring personal data to third states with an adequate level of protection is foreseen once a decision has been taken by the Commission. This is a key element for considering international transfers valid.

Article 45 lays down the criteria, conditions and procedures for the adoption of an adequacy decision by the Commission, based on Article 25 of Directive 95/46/EC. The Article now explicitly confirms the possibility for the Commission to assess the level of protection afforded by a territory or a processing sector in a third country. For transfers to third countries for which no adequacy decision has been taken by the Commission, Article 46 requires appropriate safeguards to be provided, notably standard data protection clauses, binding corporate rules and contractual clauses. The possibility to make use of Commission standard data protection clauses is based on Article 26(4) of Directive 95/46/EC. As a novelty, these standard data protection clauses can now also be adopted by a supervisory authority and declared generally valid by the Commission. Binding corporate rules are now specifically mentioned in the legal text. The option of contractual clauses offers some flexibility to the controller or processor, but is subject to prior authorisation by the supervi-

¹COM (2012) 11 final.

² Vid. SOLAR CALVO, P, "La doble vía europea en protección de datos", in Diario La Ley, Nº 7832, Doctrina Section, 4 April 2012, Year XXXIII, Editorial LA LEY.

Alfonso Ortega Giménez

sory authorities.

In this regard, the approval procedure according to (Recital 103) states that: The Commission may decide, with effect for the whole Union, that a third country, a territory or a specific sector within a third country, or an international organisation offers an adequate level of data protection, thereby providing throughout the Union legal certainty and uniformity as regards the third country or international organisation which is considered to offer such a level of protection. In such cases, transfers of personal data to these countries can take place without any further authorisation being required. The Commission may also decide to revoke such a decision, subject to prior notice and a fully reasoned statement to the third country or international organisation.

This shows the Commission's absolute leading role in taking the decision on adequacy, and it will do so through the opinions of the committees, such as the European Data Protection Committee³.

Another issue, before listing the elements to be taken into account, is to determine who is subject to assessment not only third states are subject to assessment, but also companies that do not provide an adequate system of protection.

On the other hand, in order to assess the level of protection, the Regulation establishes that the following aspects shall be taken into account.

(a) The rule of law, respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, relevant legislation, both general and sectoral, including legislation

relating to public security, defence, national security and criminal law, and access to personal data by public authorities, as well as the application of such legislation, data protection rules, professional standards and security measures, including rules on on onward transfers of personal data to another third country or international organisation, case law;

- (b) The existence and effective functioning of an independent supervisory authority or authorities in the third country or to which an international organisation is subject, with responsibility for ensuring and enforcing compliance with data protection rules, including adequate enforcement powers, for assisting and advising data subjects in exercising their rights, and for cooperating with the supervisory authorities of the Union and of the Member States. At this point, it is very important, as required by Recital 104, that the supervision is truly independent.
- (c) International commitments entered into by the third country or international organisation concerned, or other obligations arising from legally binding agreements or instruments.

It is foreseen that the decision will be reviewed every four years with the objective of monitoring whether the third country continues to comply with these conditions. If it is found that such a standard is no longer met, the Commission will repeal, suspend or amend the agreement. Discussions will be initiated with that state to remedy the previous situation. Transfer to third parties is also allowed even in the absence of a decision, but with sufficient guarantees, such as

³ ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A. y MARZO PORTERA, A., Empresa y transferencia internacional de datos personales, Instituto Español de Comercio Exterior (ICEX), Madrid, 2013. ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A., "Imagen y circulación internacional de datos", en Revista Boliviana de Derecho, Nº 15, Fundación Iuris Tantum, Santa Cruz (Bolivia), 2013.

the adoption of binding instruments.

The main consequence of the adoption of an adequacy decision is found in Article 45(1) of the Regulation, that a transfer of personal data to a third country or international organisation may take place, and that such a transfer does not require any specific authorisation⁴.

2. Transfers by appropriate collateral.

Transfers by means of adequate protection are an indispensable requirement for such transfers to be valid in the absence of an adequacy decision, which is why, given their importance, the Regulation offers a considerable reinforcement to the regulation that Directive 95/46/EC made with respect to them, and in recital 108 of the Regulation, we find indications of the relevance of this requirement: Those safeguards must ensure the observance of data protection requirements and data subjects' rights appropriate to processing within the Union, including the availability to data subjects of enforceable rights and effective legal remedies, including the right to obtain effective administrative or judicial redress and to claim compensation, in the Union or in a third country.

If a decision according to the above features has not been issued, personal data may only be transferred to a third State or organisation if adequate custody and enforceable rights have been provided. Here the GDPR establishes two types of adequate safeguards; those that require authorisation and those that do not.

A) Guarantees without requiring authorisation are:

- a) A legally binding and enforceable instrument between public authorities or bodies.
 - b) Binding corporate rules.
- c) Standard data protection clauses adopted by the Commission or supervisory authority and approved by the Commission.
- d) A code of conduct, together with binding and enforceable commitments of the controller or processor in the third country to implement appropriate protection, including on the rights of data subjects and approved by the supervisory authority.
- e) A certification mechanism, with the same commitments as the previous measure. The mechanism is voluntary, and will be issued by a body accredited by the DPA or by the authority itself.

B) Where there is authorisation by the supervisory authority, it shall be required by.

a) Contractual clauses between the controller or processor and the controller, processor or recipient of the personal data in the third country or international organisation.

⁴ ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A., "El Reglamento General de Protección de Datos de la UE en la empresa: novedades prácticas", en Diario La Ley, en el número 15, Sección Ciberderecho, 7 de marzo de 2018, Editorial Wolters Kluwer. ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A., y GONZALO DOMENECH, J. J. "Las transferencias internacionales de datos de carácter personal en el nuevo Reglamento General de Protección de Datos", en Revista Economist & Jurist, Número 217, Difusión Jurídica, Barcelona, Febrero 2018, pp. 36-43. ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A., "Impacto del nuevo marco jurídico en materia de protección de datos de carácter personal en la Unión Europea: del "safe harbour" al "privacy shield", en Revista Digital Administrativo, Editorial jurídica Sepin, N° 24, SP/ DOCT/73156, Artículo Monográfico. Enero 2018. ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A., El nuevo régimen jurídico de la Unión Europea para las empresas en materia de protección de datos de carácter personal, Thomson Reuters Aranzadi, Cizur Menor (Navarra), 2017.

b) Provisions to be incorporated in administrative arrangements between public authorities or bodies which include effective and enforceable rights for the persons concerned.

The standard contractual clauses are governed by Decision 2001/497/EC of 15 June 2001 on standard contractual clauses for the transfer of personal data to a third country between controllers, as amended by Decisions 2004/915/EC and 2016/2297/EC; and Commission Decision 2010/87/EU of 5 February 2010 on standard contractual clauses for the transfer of personal data to processors established in third countries, pursuant to Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, as amended by Decision 2016/2297/EC.

The possibility to make use of standard data protection clauses of the Commission is based on Article 26 of Directive 95/46/EC. As a novelty, these standard data protection clauses can now also be adopted by a supervisory authority and declared generally valid by the Commission. Binding corporate rules are now specifically mentioned in the legal text. The option of contractual clauses offers some flexibility to the controller or processor, but is subject to prior authorisation by the supervisory authorities.

As a warning, the standard contract terms are likely to suffer a major setback; as a CJEU ruling on their validity is expected. The ruling is expected in a year and a half.

3. Binding Corporate Rules.

One of the most notable novelties introduced in the GDPR is to be found in Article 47, which refers to binding corporate rules, which establishes that "the competent supervisory authority shall approve binding corporate rules in accordance with the consistency mechanism", which means that the set of rules approved will be binding as long as they comply with the requirements established in Article 47.1 of the Regulation, which is the one that grants such binding force⁵.

Binding corporate rules could thus be defined as internal rules (such as a code of conduct) adopted by a multinational group of companies that define their overall policy with regard to international transfers of personal data within the same corporate group to entities located in countries that do not provide an adequate level of protection. They are intended for corporate groups only.

These rules should have a minimum content:

- a) The structure and contact details of the corporate group or association of undertakings engaged in a joint economic activity and of each of its members.
- b) Transfers or sets of transfers of data, including the categories of personal data, the type of processing operations and their purposes, the type of data subjects concerned and the name of the third country or countries concerned.
- a) It's legally binding nature, both internally and externally.

5 ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A., Transferencias internacionales de datos de carácter personal ilícitas, Thomson Reuters Aranzadi, Cizur Menor, Navarra, 2016. ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A., "La (des) protección del titular del derecho a la protección de datos derivada de una transferencia internacional ilícita en Derecho internacional privado español", Diario La Ley, Nº 8661, La Ley, Madrid, 2015. ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A., La (des) protección del titular del derecho a la protección de datos derivada de una transferencia internacional ilícita, AEPD, Madrid, 2015.

- b) The application of general data protection principles, in particular purpose limitation, data minimisation, limited retention periods, data quality, data protection by design and by default, the basis of processing, the processing of special categories of personal data, measures to ensure data security and requirements with regard to onward transfers to bodies not bound by binding corporate rules.
- c) The rights of data subjects in relation to processing and the means of exercising them, in particular the right not to be subject to decisions based solely on automated processing, including compliance profiling, the right to lodge a complaint with the competent supervisory authority and with the competent courts of the Member States, and the right to obtain redress, and, where appropriate, compensation for breaches of these rules.
- d) The acceptance by the controller or processor established in the territory of a Member State of liability for any breach of binding corporate rules by any member concerned not established in the Union; the controller or processor shall only be exonerated, in whole or in part, from such liability if it proves that the act giving rise to the damage is not attributable to that member.
- e) The way in which information on binding corporate rules is provided to stakeholders, in particular with regard to the provisions referred to in points (d), (e) and (f) and the right of information.
- f) The functions of any data protection officer, or any other person or entity responsible for the supervision of compliance with the CSV within the corporate group or group of undertakings engaged in a joint economic activity.

- g) Complaints procedures.
- h) The mechanisms established within the corporate group or group of undertakings to ensure verification of compliance with the CSV, such as data protection audits and methods to ensure corrective actions to protect the data subject's rights. The results of such verification should be communicated to the DPO or similar; and to the board of directors of the company controlling a group or group of undertakings, and made available to the competent supervisory authority upon request.
- i) The mechanisms in place for communicating and recording changes to the standards and for notifying these changes to the supervisory authority.
- j) The mechanism of cooperation with the supervisory authority to ensure compliance by any member of the group or group of undertakings, in particular by making available to the supervisory authority the results of the verifications of the measures referred.
- k) Mechanisms for informing the competent supervisory authority of any legal requirements applying in a third country to a member of the corporate group or joint venture, which are likely to have an adverse effect on the safeguards set out in the binding corporate rules.
- I) Relevant data protection training for staff who have permanent or regular access to personal data.

4. Exceptions.

Article 49 defines and clarifies the exceptions to a transfer of data on the basis of the existing provisions of Article 26 of Directive 95/46/EC. This

Alfonso Ortega Giménez

applies in particular to data transfers required and necessary for the protection of important public interests, for example in case of international data transfers between competition authorities, tax or customs administrations, or between services competent for social security or fisheries management. Moreover, in certain circumstances a transfer of data may be justified by a legitimate interest of the controller or processor, but only after having assessed and documented the circumstances of such a transfer operation, i.e. through a numerus clausus it establishes a number of situations in which an adequacy decision and adequacy safeguards are not required and no authorisation by the supervisory authorities is necessary⁶.

Although it is true that the Regulation establishes a closed list of cases, which seems to limit the situations in which they may occur, it does not seem to escape criticism, considering that the list offered by Article 49 is too broad, even in one of its paragraphs [Article 49.1.g)] it establishes that a transfer of personal data may take place even when none of the exceptions for specific situations referred to in the first subparagraph of this paragraph is applicable⁷.

The exceptions listed in Article 49 for specific situations are:

- (a) The data subject has explicitly given his or her consent to the proposed transfer, after having been informed of the possible risks for him or her of such transfers due to the absence of an adequacy decision and of appropriate safeguards;
- (b) The transfer is necessary for the performance of a contract between the data subject and the

controller or for the performance of pre-contractual measures taken at the request of the data subject;

- (c) The transfer is necessary for the conclusion or performance of a contract, in the interest of the data subject, between the controller and another natural or legal person;
- (d) The transfer is necessary for important reasons of public interest; in this paragraph we refer to Recital 112, which lists a number of examples, in which this exception may apply, such as in the case of international exchanges of data between competition authorities, tax or customs administrations, between financial supervisory authorities, between social security or public health services, for example in the case of contacts aimed at tracing contagious diseases or to reduce and/or eliminate doping in sport.

The public interest referred to in point (d) of the first subparagraph of paragraph 1 shall be recognised by the Union or Member State law applicable to the controller.

- (e) The transfer is necessary for the formulation, exercise or defence of claims;
- (f) The transfer is necessary to protect the vital interests of the data subject or of other persons, where the data subject is physically or legally incapable of giving consent;
- (g) The transfer is made from a public register which, under Union or Member State law, is intended to provide information to the public and is open to inspection by the general public or by any person who can show a legitimate interest, but

⁶ SANCHO VILLA, D., Transferencia internacional de datos personales, Agencia de Protección de Datos, Madrid, 2003. And. Negocios Internacionales de Tratamiento de Datos Personales, Civitas, Cizur Menor (Navarra), 2010.

⁷ Recitals 111 to 113 of the GDPR.

only to the extent that the conditions laid down by Union or Member State law for inspection are fulfilled in each individual case. Where a transfer cannot be based on the provisions of Articles 45 or 46, including the provisions on binding corporate rules, and none of the exceptions for specific situations referred to in the first subparagraph of this paragraph applies, it may only be carried out if it is not repetitive, concerns only a limited number of data subjects, it is necessary for the purposes of compelling legitimate interests pursued by the controller over which the interests or rights and freedoms of the data subject do not override, and the controller assessed all the circumstances surrounding the data transfer and, on the basis of this assessment, provided appropriate safeguards with regard to the protection of personal data8.

The controller shall inform the supervisory authority of the transfer. In addition to the information referred to in Articles 13 and 14, the controller shall inform the data subject of the transfer and of the compelling legitimate interests pursued.

With regard to the latter paragraph, discussed above, Article 49 establishes a limitation, which is that the transfer made shall not cover the totality of the personal data or entire categories of personal data contained in the register. If the purpose of the register is consultation by persons having a legitimate interest, the transfer shall only take place at the request of such persons or if they are to be the recipients⁹.

The third paragraph also provides that subparagraphs (a), (b) and (c) and the second paragraph shall not apply to activities carried out by public au-

thorities in the exercise of their public powers.

At this point we come to the limit, quite appropriate in my opinion, which is laid down in the fifth paragraph, in relation to the transfer of data for reasons of public interest, which reads as follows: In the absence of a decision establishing data protection adequacy, Union or Member State law may, for important reasons of public interest, expressly set limits on the transfer of specific categories of data to a third country or international organisation. Member States shall notify such provisions to the Commission.

Finally, it provides that the controller or processor shall document in the records referred to in Article 30 the assessment and appropriate safeguards referred to in the second subparagraph of paragraph 1.

5. Approval by the supervisory authority.

The increase in international transfers of personal data in areas such as human resources, financial services, banking, education, e-commerce, international judicial assistance or health research are now an integral part of the globalised economy¹⁰. In the area of personal data protection regulation, three main groups can be distinguished. The first group consists of those states where data protection legislation is currently in force and adapted to the present time (e.g. EU Member States, Argentina, Mexico, Canada or the USA); the second group consists of those countries where work is in progress towards data protection legislation (e.g. in some countries in the Latin American region); and

⁸ VILLARINO MARZO, J., "La privacidad desde el diseño en la propuesta de reglamento europeo de protección de datos", en Revista Aranzadi de Derecho y Nuevas Tecnologías núm. 32/2013, Aranzadi, Cizur Menor, 2013.

⁹ GUASCH PORTAS, V., Las transferencias internacionales de datos en la normativa española y comunitaria, Agencia Española de Protección de Datos-Agencia Estatal Boletín Oficial del Estado, Madrid, 2014.

Alfonso Ortega Giménez

the third group consists of those countries where data protection legislation is in the process of being introduced (e.g. in some Latin American countries)¹¹. The third group consists of those countries where data protection legislation is currently¹², lacking (e.g. Russia, Malaysia, Taiwan, etc.)¹³.

The main sectors of activity in which data exporters operate are telecommunications, energy, computer services, banking, chemicals and pharmaceuticals, and direct mail.

All these factors together show that autonomous business decisions are taking place, leading to a phenomenon of delocalisation of business activities in these sectors and countries, for which obtaining an authorisation for international data transfer is a legally necessary instrumental element¹⁴.

Indeed, taking into account the modality, purpose and destination of the authorised international data transfers, three interrelated phenomena stand out in which we must maximise the protection of the holder of the right to data protection derived from an unlawful international transfer of personal data:

1) That the main form of transfer is that between a controller located in Spain, mainly dedica-

ted to telecommunications services, and a service provider in a third country (data processor), under the standard contractual clauses provided for in European Commission Decision 2002/16/EC of 27 December 2001¹⁵.

- 2) The diversification of the geographical areas to which personal data is transferred: the USA continues to be the leading importer of data from Spain and Latin American countries are consolidating their position in second place (mainly Chile, Colombia, Peru, Paraguay and Uruguay). But new countries of destination for transfers have appeared in Asia, with India standing out, which in recent years has tripled the number of files processed and is tending to become one of the main importers of personal data. The number of authorisations to Morocco has also increased,
- 3) A high percentage of the authorised transfers relate to services provided in third countries, which is indicative of the increasing importance of offshoring of activities that are outsourced to third countries¹⁶.

6. Temporary suspension.

The need to reconcile the protection of the hol-

¹⁰ FERNÁNDEZ LÓPEZ, J. M. "Movimiento internacional de datos y buen gobierno corporativo", in Boletín del Ilustre Colegio de Abogados de Madrid, núm. 35, 3, February 2007, p. 177.

¹¹ Vid. Law No. 18331 on "Protection of Personal Data and 'Habeas Data' Action" of 11/08/2008; and its Regulatory Decree of 31/08/2009.

¹² While Latin American countries have looked to the European model, they have also maintained different particularities and motivations when adopting their data protection regulations.

¹³ Vid. Statutory Law No. 1581 of 17 October 2012, "whereby general provisions are issued for the protection of personal data."
14 International data transfers serve various purposes which can be differentiated between: a) Those related to business management in a global context. Multinational companies require international data transfers for purposes such as the management, maintenance and technical support of information systems (especially in relation to the efficient management of human resources, customers and suppliers, as well as the provision of administrative support services in relation to these); and b) those related to customer service and other telephone marketing actions aimed at improving customer satisfaction, such as the centralised management of customer services. This group mainly includes the provision of customer services or telemarketing. 15 DO 2002 L 6/52.

Alfonso Ortega Giménez

der of the right to data protection derived from an unlawful international transfer of personal data with the international movement of personal data means that the regulatory rules on the matter must be based on the general principle of prohibiting transfers to countries which, according to Article 33.1 of the LOPD - in line with Article 25.1 of Directive 95/46/EC and Article 23 of the EC Treaty (now Article 28 of the TFEU)¹⁷ do not guarantee an "equivalent level of protection" ¹⁸.

But then the question is to determine when a country offers an adequate¹⁹ level of protection, i.e., when its regulations are considered to incorporate the essential core of data protection principles enshrined in our LOPD. Article 33.2 of the LOPD, Article 67 of the LOPD Regu-

lations and Instruction 1/2000 offer a solution to the question - which essentially reproduces Article 25.2 of Directive 95/46/EC, stating that "the adequacy of the level of protection offered by the country of destination shall be assessed²⁰by the [Spanish] Data Protection Agency, taking into account all the circumstances of the transfer or category of data transfer. In particular, consideration shall be given to the nature of the data to be processed and the duration of the processing operation or operations envisaged, the country of origin and the country of final destination, the rules of law, general or sectoral, in force in the third country in question, the content of the reports of the Commission of the European Union, as well as the professional standards and security measures in force in those countries'.

16 From the Spanish perspective, the categories of international transfers of personal data are, in practice, of three types: a) Transfers of personal data derived from the optimisation of the management of resources by a company, whose parent company, whether Spanish or central, is located in a foreign country; b) Transfers of personal data linked to the nature of the activity or product (e.g., reservations of airline tickets or hotel reservations abroad contracted through travel agencies in Spain); and, c) Transfers of personal data aimed at improving customer service (e.g., in the cases of cases of travel agencies in Spain).

17 If we qualify personal data as "goods", it is obvious that if an EU Member State does not authorise an international transfer of personal data on the grounds of public order, morality, public security, protection of health or life of persons, this should not be considered as a restriction on the free movement of goods or goods, as provided for in the ECT.

18 On the contrary, Article 25.1 of Directive 95/46/EC speaks of "adequate level of protection", showing a regrettable lack of coherence and terminological precision, Vid. HERRÁN ORTIZ, A. I., El derecho a intimidad en la nueva Ley Orgánica de Protección de Datos Personales, Dykinson, Madrid, 2002, p. 368. El derecho a la intimidad en la nueva Ley Orgánica de Protección de Datos Personales, Dykinson, Madrid, 2002, p. 368. But does this double standard make sense? Do we have different levels of protection? Does the equivalence clause require a higher level of protection than the other clause? ESTADELLA YUSTE, O., "La transmisión internacional de datos personales y su control", in Jornadas sobre Derecho Español de Protección de Datos Personales, Agencia de Protección de Datos, Madrid, 1996, p. 202.

19 While the "equivalent level of protection" refers to the idea that the instruments presented by the recipient country should have a similar data protection content to that of the transmitting country, the "adequate level of protection" consists of the recipient of the international transfer of data having rules whose content is sufficient to guarantee the protection of personal data, such sufficiency being determined by the establishment of and respect for the guiding principles of data protection and their mechanisms of effectiveness. In this sense, we agree with GARRIGA DOMÍNGUEZ and ESTADELLA YUSTE that "equivalent does not mean the same as adequate, since while the Member States are required, so that data may circulate freely within their territories, to attain [a] level equivalent to that of the transmitting state, when the transmission of data takes place to a non-EU country, only a minimum standard of protection is satisfied". See GARRIGA DOMÍNGUEZ, A. , La protección de los datos personales en el Derecho español, Dykinson, Madrid, 1999, p. 331; ESTADELLA YUSTE, O., "La transmisión internacional de datos personales y su control", in Jornadas sobre Derecho Español de Protección de Datos Personales, Agencia de Protección de Datos, Madrid, 1996, p. 202; and PIÑOL i R., "La transmisión internacional de datos personales y su control", in Jornadas sobre Derecho Español de Protección de Datos Personales, Agencia de Protección de Datos, Madrid, 1996, p. 202. 202; and PIÑOL i RULL, J. and ESTADELLA YUSTE, O. "La regulación de la transmisión internacional de datos en la L. O. 5/1992 de 29 de octubre"..., op. cit. pp. 84-87. Moreover, without doubt, the regime provided for in Article 25 of Directive 95/46/EC is not in accordance with the provisions of Article 12 of Convention 108/81/EC, since while the former speaks of "adequate level of protection", the latter speaks of "equivalent protection"; and, as HEREDERO HIGUERAS, M. points out, "the concept of adequate level of protection is weaker than that of equivalent protection in Article 12 of the Convention [108/81/EC]".

Alfonso Ortega Giménez

This provision will be complemented by Article 25.4 of Directive 95/46/EC, according to which, third states in respect of which the European Commission has declared the existence of such adequacy shall always be considered to offer an adequate level of protection; an extreme which is contemplated in Article 34 k) of the LOPD, when it states that the authorisation of the Director of the AEPD shall not be necessary, "when the transfer is to a member state of the European Union, or a state in respect of which the Commission of the European Communities, in the exercise of its powers, has declared that it guarantees an adequate level of protection" 21.

The temporary suspension is established as a further guarantee for the adequate protection of personal data and to avoid the violation of the rights that individuals have over them, in the event of the possible illicit transfer of such data to a country that does not comply with the appropriate guarantees.

7. Contractual clauses.

The international perspective of the European legal regime on the protection of personal data is an aspect to be considered in view of the future reform of Directive 95/46/EC. The increase in international transfers of personal data implies the need for states to react by restricting the unlawful capture, processing and dissemination of personal data.

There are several challenges ahead: firstly, the increase in outsourcing of processing - very often outside the EU - raises issues related to the law applicable to processing and the attribution of responsibility for processing; secondly, the need to clarify and simplify the rules applicable to international transfers of personal data in order to avoid the risk that the level of protection of data subjects provided for in a third country is judged differently from one Member State to another; thirdly, the need to strengthen the role of supervisory authorities in charge of data protection in order to improve the application of the rules in the area of international transfers of personal data; thirdly, the need to strengthen the role of data protection supervisory authorities in order to improve the application of the rules in the area of international transfers of personal data; thirdly, the desirability of strengthening the role of data protection supervisory authorities in order to improve the enforcement of the rules in the area of international data transfers; finally, the need for a comprehensive instrument, applicable to data processing operations in all sectors and policies in the EU, to ensure an integrated approach and comprehensive, consistent and effective protection.

A strong, clear and uniform legal framework within the EU will give EU companies a global competitive advantage, as well as being a key benefit for service providers and an incentive for investors seeking optimal conditions for the loca-

²⁰ The main elements to be assessed by the competent body - European Commission or AEPD, as the case may be - at the time of carrying out the assessment of the adequate level of protection are two: on the one hand, the guiding principles of data protection (purpose, data quality, transparency and security), where these must be identified and developed regardless of the nature of the continent of such principles; and, on the other hand, the mechanisms of effectiveness of such principles, which refer to the implementation, observation and compliance with the principles. In relation to the basic principles in any adequacy analysis, see ACED FÉLEZ, E., "Transferencias internacionales de datos", in PIÑAR MAÑAS, J. L. (Dir.), Protección de datos de carácter personal en Iberoamérica (II Encuentro Iberoamericano de Protección de Datos, La Antigua- Guatemala, 2-6 June 2003), Tirant lo Blanch, Valencia, 2005, pp. 108-109.

²¹ Vid. in the same sense, Article 68 of the RLOPD.

Alfonso Ortega Giménez

tion of services.

If we manage to bring Directive 95/46/EC into line with the new social and technological reality we live in today, we will have succeeded not only in guaranteeing the functioning of the "internal market for personal data" but also in favouring commercial relations between the EU and third countries. And we know the EU's roadmap. The objectives are clear: to strengthen the rights of individuals so that the collection and processing of their personal data is clearly instrumentalised, to seek to reduce red tape for businesses and to ensure high levels of protection for data sent outside the EU by improving and simplifying international data transfer procedures.

As mentioned above, the European Regulation establishes the possibility for the Commission or the supervisory authority to adopt standard contractual clauses regulating the obligations, for data protection purposes, between the controller and the processor, on which the contracts entered into between the controller and the processor may be based. However, it will be necessary to await the development of these clauses by the Commission or supervisory authority in order to determine whether it is more appropriate to draw up ad hoc clauses or to adhere to the standard clauses.

The international transfer of personal data is possible as a result of the implementation of one of the following European Commission Decisions.

Depending on the purpose or legal framework in which they are carried out, international transfers can be contractual (international transfers as a consequence of contractual and commercial relations between companies with legal links or the flow maintained for commercial purposes), when there is a prior agreement between the exporter and importer of personal data, or non-contractual (unlawful processing of data)²², when there is no such agreement.

Of all the criteria set out above, for the purposes of private international law, the one that interests us in this paper (the lack of protection of the holder of the right to data protection derived from an unlawful international transfer of personal data) is that of the purpose or legal framework in which the transfer is carried out, which allows us to distinguish between contractual (consensual) and non-contractual (non-consensual) international data transfers.

The former ("contractual" international data transfers) refer to international data processing transactions between the data subject and the controller. It is frequent that the conclusion or execution of a certain agreement between a data subject and an employer (or between the latter and a third party in the interest of the former) requires the processing of his personal data. In such cases, the international transfer of such data is ancillary to the main business. In other cases, the international transfer of data takes place within the framework of a business whose main purpose is precisely that transfer: these are transfers of data that take place on a principal basis.

In addition to these cases, there are frequent cases of infringement of the right to data protection of data subjects due to unlawful data processing carried out by an employer outside

²² Consequently, there will be damage - and therefore a claim - if it is established that there has been unlawful processing of personal data.

a pre-existing relationship between the parties ("extra-contractual" international data transfers), where the data subject (injured party) brings a claim for damages against the tortfeasor (the natural or legal person who has unlawfully processed the data).

II. CONCLUSIONS.

The GDPR is based on the criteria already established in Directive 95/46 and incorporated into Spanish domestic legislation, i.e. that data may only be transferred to those countries, territories, sectors or international organisations for which the European Commission has considered that they have an adequate level of protection or, in other cases, sufficient guarantees are provided or some of the circumstances foreseen as exceptions are met, and provided that the other requirements of the Regulation are observed.

The GDPR introduces new features that affect the entire international transfer regime, but it is the authorisation regime that represents a radical departure from the model of the current regulation.

The first issue is that the GDPR establishes beyond doubt that the data exporter can be both a controller and a processor, a precision that definitively puts an end to the legal restrictions in certain Member States where the exporter must always be the controller. This means that service providers established in third countries are in a better position to subcontract in those or other third countries than service providers established in the EU. A situation which, in our sphere, was addressed by the Spanish Data Protection Agency through the adoption of model contractual clauses offering adequate guarantees for international transfers from processors established in

Spain to sub-processors in third countries.

It also broadens the range of instruments in which appropriate safeguards can be included and provided to protect the rights of data subjects as a result of the transfer of data. Codes of conduct and certification mechanisms are incorporated as instruments that can incorporate these guarantees, in addition to the Binding Corporate Rules (BCRs) for multinational groups which, although in practice they are already operational, thanks to the work of the Article 29 Working Party (WP29), for the first time they are recognised with legal status, which will make their use possible in those Member States that to date do not consider them valid, as they derive their binding effect not only from contracts but also from unilateral declarations. This wider range of instruments should facilitate the work of exporters by giving those more to choose from.

But where the new features introduced by the GDPR are most evident is in the regime of prior authorisation and notification of international transfers, which are reduced to very few cases.

The current applicable regulations oblige data exporters to request prior authorisation from the Spanish Data Protection Agency in order to transfer data to importers established in countries that do not have an adequate level of protection, provided that they provide sufficient guarantees, and to notify it of the transfers when they are to countries that do have such an adequate level of protection or, otherwise, are carried out under one of the exceptions provided for in Article 34 of the Organic Law on Data Protection. Under the GDPR, transfers may be carried out without the need for prior authorisation, unless the guarantees are provided through an ad hoc contract

or an administrative agreement between public authorities, or notification to the supervisory authority, unless they are covered by the exception based on the overriding legitimate interest of the controller and the other requirements established for this case by the GDPR are met.

Substantive changes that will undoubtedly facilitate trade relations and international cooperation by avoiding the need to seek authorisation from the Agency in most cases, but at the same time guaranteeing the rights of data subjects when data are transferred outside the EU.

III. BIBLIOGRAPHY CONSULTED.

ESTADELLA YUSTE, O. "La transmisión internacional de datos personales y su control", Jornadas sobre Derecho Español de Protección de Datos Personales, Agencia de Protección de Datos, Madrid, 1996, p. 202.

FERNÁNDEZ LÓPEZ, J. M. "MOVIMIENTO INTERNACIONAL DE DATOS Y BUEN GOBIERNO CORPORATIVO", BOLETÍN DEL ILUSTRE COLEGIO DE ABOGADOS DE MADRID, NÚM. 35, FEBRUARY 2007, P. 177.

GARRIGA DOMÍNGUEZ, A. LA PROTECCIÓN DE LOS DATOS PERSONALES EN EL DERECHO ESPAÑOL, DYKINSON, MADRID, 1999, P. 331.

Guasch Portas, V. Las transferencias internacionales de datos en la normativa española y comunitaria, Agencia Española de Protección de Datos-Agencia Estatal Boletín Oficial del Estado, Madrid, 2014.

HERRÁN ORTIZ, A. I. El derecho a la intimidad en la nueva Ley Orgánica de Protección de Datos Personales, Dykinson, Madrid, 2002, p. 368. HEREDERO HIGUERAS, M. "The concept of adequate level of protection is weaker than that of equivalent protection in Article 12 of the Convention [108/81/EC]".

LÓPEZ CARBALLO, D. "A vueltas con las transferencias internacionales de datos: actualidad y seguridad jurídica", Actualidad Jurídica Aranzadi, núm. 922/2016, Aranzadi, Cizur Menor (Navarra), 2016.

ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A. "El Reglamento General de Protección de Datos de la UE en la empresa: novedades prácticas", Diario La Ley, in issue 15, Section Ciberderecho, 7 March 2018, Editorial Wolters Kluwer.

ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A. and GONZALO DOME-NECH, J. J. "Las transferencias internacionales de datos de carácter personal en el nuevo Reglamento General de Protección de Datos", Revista Economist & Jurist, Number 217, Difusión Jurídica, Barcelona, February 2018, pp. 36-43.

ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A. "Impacto del nuevo marco jurídico en materia de protección de datos de carácter personal en la Unión Europea: del "safe harbour" al "privacy shield", in Revista Digital Administrativo, Editorial jurídica Sepin, N° 24, SP/DOCT/73156, Monographic Article. January 2018.

- El nuevo régimen jurídico de la Unión Europea para las empresas en materia de protección de datos de carácter personal, Thomson Reuters Aranzadi, Cizur Menor (Navarra), 2017.
- Transferencias internacionales de datos de carácter personal ilícitas, Thomson Reuters Aranzadi, Cizur Menor, Navarra, 2016.

Alfonso Ortega Giménez

- "La (des) protección del titular del derecho a la protección de datos derivada de una transferencia internacional ilícita en Derecho internacional privado español", Diario La Ley, Nº 8661, La Ley, Madrid, 2015.

recho y Nuevas Tecnologías núm. 32/2013, Aranzadi, Cizur Menor, 2013.

- La (des) protección del titular del derecho a la protección de datos derivada de una transferencia internacional ilícita, AEPD, Madrid, 2015.

ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A. and MARZO PORTE-RA, A. Empresa y transferencia internacional de datos personales, Instituto Español de Comercio Exterior (ICEX), Madrid, 2013.

ORTEGA GIMÉNEZ, A. "Imagen y circulación internacional de datos", in Revista Boliviana de Derecho, No. 15, Fundación Iuris Tantum, Santa Cruz (Bolivia), 2013.

PIÑAR MAÑAS, J. L. (Dir.), Protección de datos de carácter personal en Iberoamérica (II Encuentro Iberoamericano de Protección de Datos, La Antigua- Guatemala, 2-6 June 2003), Tirant lo Blanch, Valencia, 2005, pp. 108-109.

SANCHO VILLA, D. Negocios Internacionales de Tratamiento de Datos Personales, Civitas, Cizur Menor (Navarra), 2010.

- Transferencia internacional de datos personales, Agencia de Protección de Datos, Madrid, 2003.

SOLAR CALVO, P. "La doble vía europea en protección de datos", in Diario La Ley, Nº 7832, Doctrina Section, Editorial La Ley, 2012.

VILLARINO MARZO, J. "La privacidad desde el diseño en la propuesta de reglamento europeo de protección de datos", Revista Aranzadi de De-